

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
 B. Trent Webb, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 Peter Strand, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 Ryan D. Dykal Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 2555 Grand Boulevard
 Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613
 Telephone: (816) 474-6550
 Facsimile: (816) 421-5547
bwebb@shb.com

Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
 Houston, Texas 77002
 Telephone: (713) 227-8008
 Facsimile: (713) 227-9508
rreckers@shb.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 Mark A. Perry (*pro hac vice*)
 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20036-5306
 Telephone: (202) 955-8500
mperry@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 Joseph A. Gorman (*pro hac vice*)
 555 Mission Street
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 415-393-8296
jgorman@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 Lauren Blas (*pro hac vice*)
 Blaine H. Evanson (*pro hac vice*)
 333 S. Grand Ave.
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
 Telephone: (213) 229-7000
lblass@gibsondunn.com
bevanson@gibsondunn.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG
 Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086)
 Brandon Roos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 7888)
 Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229)
 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
 Suite 400 North
 Las Vegas, NV 89169
 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
tratosm@gtlaw.com
roosb@gtlaw.com
godfreyl@gtlaw.com

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
 W. West Allen (Nevada Bar No. 5566)
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 Telephone: (702) 949-8200
wallen@LRRLaw.com

RIMINI STREET, INC.
 Daniel B. Winslow (*pro hac vice*)
 6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300
 Pleasanton, CA 94566
 Telephone: (925) 264-7736
dwinslow@riministreet.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
 and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
 CORPORATION, a California corporation,
 Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC. , a Nevada corporation;
 SETH RAVIN, an individual,
 Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
 LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL
 DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
 EXCLUDE DEPOSITION
 TESTIMONY OF PAUL SIMMONS
 AND THE TESTIMONY, IN PART,
 OF BROOKS HILLIARD**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 21, 2010 (*See* Dkt. 55, “Protective Order”), Local Rule 10-5(b) and Rules 5.2 and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin (“Rimini”) respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file under seal portions of Defendants’ Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony of Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard. A public, redacted version of Defendants’ Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony Of Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard was filed on September 28, 2015.

The Protective Order provides that: “Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as “Confidential Information” and as “Highly Confidential Information- Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the terms of the Protective Order only if such counsel in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as “Confidential Information” or “Highly Confidential Information- Attorneys’ Eyes Only” shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation”. Protective Order at Paragraph 2.

The Court has “broad latitude” under Rule 26(c) “to prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” *Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (citations omitted).

Sealing portions of Defendants’ Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony of Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard is requested because the document contains deposition testimony of Paul Simmons, which has been designated as “Confidential” under the terms of the Protective Order. The Protective Order provides that: “Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ under the terms of this Protective Order **only if such counsel in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to**

1 **protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).** The designation by any Designating
 2 Party of any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information –
 3 Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party
 4 reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation.” Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis
 5 supplied).

6 Thus, in identifying of Defendants’ Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony of
 7 Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard which contains Confidential or Highly
 8 Confidential material, Rimini, as the designating party, contends that good cause exists for sealing
 9 citations to the deposition testimony of Paul Simmons.

10 Rimini has submitted all other portions of Defendants’ Opposition to Exclude
 11 Deposition Testimony of Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard, for filing in
 12 the Court’s public files, which would allow public access to the filings except for the documents
 13 Oracle has designated as Highly Confidential. Accordingly, the request to seal is narrowly tailored.

14 For the foregoing reasons, Rimini respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to
 15 file portions of Defendants’ Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony of Paul Simmons and the
 16 Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard to the same under seal.

17 DATED: September 28, 2015

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON

19 By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers

Robert H. Reckers

rreckers@shb.com

B. Trent Webb

bwebb@shb.com

Peter Strand

pstrand@shb.com

Ryan Dykal

rdykal@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

2555 Grand Blvd.

Kansas City, MO 64108-2613

816-474-6550 Telephone

816-421-5547 Facsimile

Attorneys for Defendants

Rimni Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 28th day of September, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, using the electronic case filing system. The electronic case filing system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers
Robert H. Reckers.

Attorney for Defendants
Rimini Street, Inc., and Seth Ravin

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC. , a Nevada corporation;
SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL
DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
EXCLUDE DEPOSITION
TESTIMONY OF PAUL SIMMONS
AND THE TESTIMONY, IN PART,
OF BROOKS HILLIARD**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pending before this Court is Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin's ("Rimini") Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Portions of Defendants' Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony of Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of "a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Defendant's Motion to Seal and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Defendants' Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal portions of Defendants' Opposition to Exclude Deposition Testimony of Paul Simmons and the Testimony, In Part, of Brooks Hilliard.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

By: _____
Hon. Larry R. Hicks
United States District Judge